

## **Using computer models and uncertainty quantification to construct hazard maps**

**Abani K. Patra**<sup>1</sup>, Keith Dalbey<sup>2</sup>, Elena R. Stefanescu<sup>1</sup>, Bruce E. Pitman<sup>1</sup>, Eliza Calder<sup>1</sup>, Marcus I. Bursik<sup>1</sup>, Michael F. Sheridan<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*University at Buffalo, SUNY, Buffalo, United States*

<sup>2</sup>*Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, United States*

**Keywords:** *modelling; uncertainty quantification; hazard map*

The ultimate benefit of computer modeling of hazards arises in the ability to forecast the effect of a catastrophic event and to prepare maps of the probability of such hazard incorporating these predictions. The simplest way to use such a model to forecast hazard would be to use a Monte-Carlo like sampling strategy over the range of possible inputs and then compute the probability of different outcomes. However, in a setting where simulations can cost hours on modern supercomputers the use of such methodology is prohibitively expensive though occasionally still attempted. We present here an alternate approach using a systematically chosen set of simulations to construct a statistical model and then sampling to construct the hazard map. To overcome the cost of inverting the extremely large covariance matrices to assimilate data from new simulations we use a simple localization procedure which also enables the use of parallel computing. The computational time required is thus reduced from one that was estimated to take  $O(100)$  days to 1 day on 1024 processors.