

## **Interpretation of statistical signals in earthquake data**

**Mark Naylor**<sup>1</sup>, Sarah Touati<sup>1</sup>, Greenhough John<sup>1</sup>, Ian G. Main<sup>1</sup>, Andrew Bell<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*School of GeoSciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK*

**Keywords:** *earthquake, statistical seismology, emergence*

Linking physical process with empirical statistical trends associated with extreme events is challenging. Non-uniqueness in models, biasing in data selection/processing and the apparent emergence of structure in complex stochastic systems all act to limit our ability to agree on a common null hypothesis, let alone quantify inherent predictability within complex earth systems. For example, here we use earthquake statistics to explore evidence for Characteristic earthquakes [1] and universality in earthquake interevent time distributions [2,3] to demonstrate how the statistics of extreme distributions [4] and selection bias can give rise to emergent trends that have previously been used to support physical models rather than being identified as statistical artefacts.

- [1] Naylor, M., J. Greenhough, J. McCloskey, A.F. Bell, and I.G. Main (2009), Statistical evaluation of characteristic earthquakes in the frequency-magnitude distributions of Sumatra and other subduction zone regions, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 36
- [2] Touati, S., Naylor, M. & Main, I.G., (2009) Origin and nonuniversality of the earthquake interevent time distribution, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 102, 168501
- [3] S. Touati, M. Naylor, I.G. Main and M. Christie (Submitted) Masking of earthquake triggering behaviour by a high spontaneous rate and implications for ETAS inversions
- [4] Naylor, M., Main, I.G. & Touati, S. (2009) Quantifying uncertainty in mean earthquake interevent times for a finite sample, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 114, B01316.